PHYSICS AT THE LHC

Marina Cobal University of Udine & INFN Trieste

Microscopes in high energy physics

The ability of 'seeing' things depends on the incident light and the goodness of the eye. The smallest resolvable details have dimensions comparable with the incident light wavelength. Resolving power $\propto 1/\lambda$

In quantum mechanics every particle has an associated wavelength: $\lambda \propto 1/p$



 \Rightarrow high energy particles will allow to investigate at shorter distances

Atom 10 ⁻¹⁰ m 0 Nucleus 10 ⁻¹⁴ m 0 Nucleon 10 ⁻¹⁵ m 0 Quarks 2 >	0.00001 GeV (electrons) 0.01 GeV (alphas) 0.1 GeV (electrons) 1 GeV (electrons)
---	--

Fixed target

larger choice of particles and targets energy is partly wasted 1 eV= energy of an electron accelerated from a potential of 1V

> 1 GeV= 100000000 eV 1 GeV=0.016 g (m/s)²



maximizes energy limited choice of particles (charged and stable \Rightarrow ions, e^{\pm} , p)

Colliders: the case of the LHC



LHC magnets



Dipoles	1232
Quadrupoles	400
Sextupoles	2464
Octupoles/decapoles	1568
Orbit correctors	642
Others	376
Total	~ 6700

The LHC magnets are the most powerful mankind can build today on industrial scale.

They use superconductivity at 1.9°K (-271°C) in a bath of superfluid He.

Spin-off: research on superconductivity (cables, alloys).

you are here

EDRUMANO DA MARINA

NUMBER OF STREET

A

S

HC

CMS

Detectors on colliders



We want to measure: direction, energy, momentum, electric charge, identity.

Tracking: measure trajectories of charged particles (as lighter as possible)

Calorimeters: measure deposited energy (dense, must stop particles)

Muon chambers: measure charged particles not stopped by the other detectors (only muons are known to do so)

Magnetic field to bend charged particles

The detector must be able to take the 'picture' of the event before the next bunch crossing. This is very demanding at the LHC (25 ns).

Statistical distributions give information on average behaviour/properties.

Particle detection



Proton-proton collisions



HEP: what do we know right now?

- Standard Model: Relativistic quantum field theory (only known framework to formulate a theory consistent with QM and Special Relativity)
- Local internal (gauge) symmetries, governed by a SU(3)xSU(2)xU(1) algebra, leading to strong and EW interactions mediated by gluons, W/ Z and photons
- Three families of quarks and leptons with a diverse mass spectrum: $10^{-8} \text{ GeV (v)} \rightarrow 10^2 \text{ GeV (top, W/Z)}$ Elementary Particles

Overall extremely complete and succesfull description of known phenomena BUT....

Neutrino masses and inclusion of **gravity** in the SM require new physics at the scales $\sim 10^{15}$ GeV and $\sim 10^{19}$ GeV, respectively.



HEP: which issues are still open?

- Identify the Higgs boson, namely the particle responsible for the breaking of the SU(2)xSU(1) (EW) symmetry and for the generation of the masses of SM particles.
- Explore the nature of the Higgs boson, and establish the detailed dynamical mechanism with which the EW symmetry is broken.
 - Pure SM?

- Supersymmetry
- ExtraDimensions?

.... don't forget ... the Higgs is not yet discovered !



Only unambiguous example of observed Higgs

Standard Model processes

Fermilab SSC



Event reconstruction



Properties of particles are then determined on a statistical basis

- Beams cross every 25 ns !
- Every beam crossing there are ~25 interactions of `minimum-bias' (MB).
- A 'good' event (for instance H→ZZ→μμμμ) is always accompanied by ~25 overlapping MB events.
- thousands of particles every triggered event, mostly with low E
- \rightarrow All this (not the Higgs event, though) repeats after every 25 ns !



Physics potential at LHC



LHC startup

13



Commissioning with first physics

 \Rightarrow use known physics to understand the detectors at our best.

Most useful:

- $qq \rightarrow Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$: use mass constraint to calibrate ECAL
- $qq \rightarrow W \rightarrow ev$: compare E and p of electron
- $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$, $\mu^+\mu^-$ can be used for further alignment
- M-B : use statistical balance in Φ for calorimetry calibration
- Z+jet, γ +jet : calibrate HCAL (jet energy) using kinematical balance in the R- Φ plane
- W→jet-jet : use W mass constraint to calibrate the jet energies
- tt production deserves a special chapter: (only ~100 events produced so far in the world, LHC will use them also as a calibration tool)





Why is top production interesting?



Is it 'standard' physics?

- The top turned ten years, but still so little known about it...
- Its large mass gives unique features for the investigation of EW symmetry breaking and physics beyond the SM (larger couplings with the Higgs boson) (can decay into heavier particles)
- Hope it will be the key for revealing new physics at the LHC.
- The top mass is now the key parameter to continue constraining the SM

The LHC will be a top-factory

- \rightarrow 2 tt events per second !
- \rightarrow more than 10 million tt events expected per year: perfect place for precision physics

tt production at the LHC



Finding tops

Can we see an early top signal even with limited detector performance? Can we use this signal to commission detector and tools?



The Standard Model is all what we have so far



- Parameters of the model are linked to each other via radiative corrections
- ⇒ With precision measurements (interesting per se): • one can get information on the missing parameter m_{H}
 - one can test the validity of the SM
- ⇒ An improvement in the knowledge of the least known parameters (like mt !) is particularly useful
- With present input: $m_H < 182 \text{ GeV/c}^2 @95\% \text{ CL}$
 - (m_H>114 GeV/c² [LEP])
- But the Higgs boson has not been seen yet !



What after the Standard Model

- The origin of the masses Higgs boson? New symmetries? Particles \rightarrow Does the Higgs boson exist? \rightarrow If not, what is the mechanism that gives masses? \rightarrow Why do the fundamental particles have so different masses? Unification of forces and particles SUSY? **New constituents?** \rightarrow Why matter (fermions) and force particles (bosons)? \rightarrow Why 3 generations of fundamental particles? Cosmology \rightarrow Can forces be unified? **Extradimensions?** Understanding the universe SUSY \rightarrow Why is gravity 38 order of magnitude weaker than other forces? \rightarrow What is the origin of dark matter?
- The LHC program is very ambitious. We want to answer to many of those questions...

We might experience a revolution in our understanding of fundamental physics.

Signs of the Higgs boson





 \Rightarrow Need of a general purpose detector

22

Higgs mass (GeV)

The Higgs in the detector



Higgs coverage

CMS/ATLAS can probe the entire set of allowed SM Higgs mass values \Rightarrow analyze all possible decays

Discovery happens early in the game (just 30/fb needed) In several cases a few months at nominal luminosity are adequate for a proper 5σ observation

No holes in m_H coverage !







Copyright © 2003 Creators Syndicate, Inc.

Supersymmetry

New symmetry between fermions (matter) and bosons (force). For each particle p with spin s: SUSY partner p with spin s-1/2

- Many additional parameters to describe the model
- The masses of SUSY particles are unknown, but the cross-sections are predicted to be big (~100 $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}\tilde{g}$ events/day at low luminosity for $m(\tilde{q}, \tilde{g}) \sim 1$ TeV)

 \Rightarrow Fast discovery for the LHC

• The challenge is to measure the particle spectrum

In the decay chain the final products are fermions and the undetected LSP

 \Rightarrow Typically many jets + missing E_T Spectacular signatures

	quarks	\rightarrow	squarks
	leptons	\rightarrow	sleptons
	W^{\pm}	\rightarrow	winos
	Η±	\rightarrow	charged higgsino
)	γ	\rightarrow	photino
	Ż	\rightarrow	zino
	h, H	\rightarrow	neutral higgsino
	g	\rightarrow	gluino
	100 g g t	q 70 100 100 100	$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}$ $\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$ $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}$ $\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$ $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$

Supersymmetry reach

Spectacular evidence with full statistics Different final states depending on the parameters and the model

m ~ 1 TeV , σ ~ 1 pb \rightarrow 10⁴ events/year at low L

 \Rightarrow Discovery possible up to sparticle masses of 3 TeV with 300/fb



Time period	Luminosity [cm ⁻² s ⁻¹]	squark/gluino masses
1 month	10 ³³	~1.3 TeV
1 year	10 ³³	~ 1.8 TeV
1 year	10 ³⁴	~2.5 TeV
Ultimate	∫ = 300 fb ⁻¹	~2.5–3 TeV
D0 & CDF	∫ = 0.3 fb ⁻¹	> _(2σ) 0.35 TeV

A good candidate for dark matter

Stars and galaxies are moving too fast to just be subject to gravitational force of what is visible.

Example: rotational velocity of galaxies

Approximately just 5% of what we 'see' (meaning that can emit EM radiation) represents the mass of the universe.

 \Rightarrow Embarassing, isn't it?



SUSY could provide a good candidate for dark matter



Understanding gravity: extradimensions

Much theoretical interest in models with extra dimensions:

- All SM particles (us!) confined in a 4D world
- Only gravity `sees' the other dimensions
- Gravity has the same strength than other forces, but is diluted in extra dimensions

 \Rightarrow Solves the so-called hierarchy problem

 \Rightarrow Gravitons can be coupled to ordinary particles, might be visible at the LHC

Gravitons at the LHC

Black holes at the LHC

- Extra dimensions have very small radius and imply that gravity is much stronger at shorter distances
- Get more than ~TeV of energy into a small 'enough' region and a black hole forms spontaneously
- (impossible if only 4D)
- They decay instantaneously on the LHC time scales, and evaporate because of the Hawking radiation

'Normal' BH:

mass: ~ m_{sun} size: ~ Km T: ~ 0.01 K Lifetime: ~forever

'Mini' BH:

mass: ~ 1000 m_p size: ~ 10^{-18} m T: ~ 10^{16} K Lifetime: 10^{-27} s

Black Holes at LHC

AG

(Personal) conclusions

- Too many things we do not know/understand.
- Any good high energy physicist cannot believe the SM is the end of the story.
- We are asking some of the most fundamental questions in modern

physics. To answer, the needed tools are of incredible complexity.

• Still, one of the most exciting intellectual adventures of mankind

The LHC should help the next step in the understanding of fundamental aspects of the infinitely small and the infinitely large After it, our view of (particle) physics could change forever

The development of higher energy accelerators is, for what we know today, an irreplaceable tool of exploration to understand the ultimate laws of Nature

Cosmology, cosmic rays, precision lower-energy measurements, are essential **complementary** tools of discovery, but cannot replace the direct observation and study of new phenomena provided by HE accelerators and experiments

M. Mangano